	1			
1 2	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) Associate Deputy Attorney General Acting United States Attorney			
3	KYLE F. WALDINGER (ILSB 6238304) Assistant United States Attorney			
4 5 6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6830 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9 10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11 12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 08-0237 MHP		
13	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PRO			
14	V.	ORDER SETTING DATES AND DOCUMENTING EXCLUSION OF TIME		
15	DAVID NOSAL, Defendant.			
16	——————————————————————————————————————))		
17	With the agreement of the parties in open court on November 17, 2008, the Court			
18	enters this order (1) setting a briefing schedule with respect to any pre-trial motions to be			
19	filed by the defendant David Nosal and (2) documenting the exclusion of time under the			
20	Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from November 17, 2008, to January 12, 2009. The			
21	parties stipulate, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:			
22	1. The parties appeared before the Court on November 17, 2008, for a hearing			
23	on the defendant's motion to recuse government counsel and to dismiss the indictment.			
24	At the conclusion of the November 17, 2008, hearing, the Court set the following briefin			
25	schedule and a motions hearing date for any remaining pre-trial motions to be filed by the			
26	defendant Nosal.			
27	Defendant's filings due:	January 12, 2009		
28	Government's response due	: February 2, 2009		
	STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING DATES & DOCUMENTING EXCLUSION OF TIME [CR 08-0237 MHP]			

Defendant's reply due: February 9, 2009 1 2 Motion hearing: February 17, 2009 2. 3 Counsel for the defendant indicated that he had been occupied in trial and 4 preparing for trial in another case during several weeks in September and October 2008. Based on the complexity of the instant case, and based on defense counsel's 5 6 unavailability due to the other case, defense counsel stated that he needed until at least 7 January 12, 2009, to effectively prepare any pre-trial motions that he may file. 3. Based on the facts set out above and on the record in open court, the parties 8 agree, and the Court so finds, that taking into the account the public interest in the prompt 9 10 disposition of criminal cases, granting a continuance until January 12, 2009, for the 11 defendant to file pretrial motions is necessary based on the complexity of this case and on the need for effective preparation of defense counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) 12 & (iv). Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by 13 excluding the period from November 17, 2008, to January 12, 2009, outweigh the best 14 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 15 16 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant David Nosal, the Court (1) sets the briefing schedule set out in ¶ 1 above with respect to the defendant 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

Case 3:08-cr-00237-EMC Document 82 Filed 12/04/08 Page 3 of 3

1	Nosal's pre-trial motions and (2) orders that the period from November 17, 2008, to			
2	January 12, 2009, be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C.			
3	§ 3161(h)(8)(ii) and (iv).			
4	SO STIPULATED.			
5	DATED:	December 1, 2008	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS	
6			Associate Deputy Attorney General Acting United States Attorney	
7				
8			KYLE F. WALDINGER	
9			Assistant United States Attorney	
10				
11	DATED:	December 1, 2008	/s/	
12			STEVEN F. GRUEL Attorney for the defendant David Nosal	
13				
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
15	DATED:	12/2	2008 ATES DISTRICT	
16			WARRILYN HALL PAYEL Winited States District Indige	
17			IT IS SO ORDERED	
18				
19			Judge Marilyn H. Patel	
20			Judge Mary	
21				
22			PARTICI OF CENT	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				